

A Pan-Dialectal Study of Verb Infinitive Phrase Marker in Yorùbá

Kèhìndé O. Oládèjì

Department of Linguistics, African and Asian Studies
University of Lagos
Akoka, Yaba – Lagos, Nigeria

Abstract

Studies in the field of dialectology have revealed that dialects of a language invariably exhibit variation or differences at all levels of grammar. This paper describes differences in the marker of verb infinitive phrase across the dialect groups of Yorùbá . The paper randomly selects four dialect groups, selecting two dialects from each group. The paper reveals that there are three various forms of the marker across the dialect groups. It is observed that three of the dialect groups out of the four studied do not have a consistent form of the marker. Based on findings in the paper, it is argued that the gerund is posited as the source of the marker as claimed by some scholars , rather than /i/ or HTS posited by some other scholars.

Keywords: Dialectology, verb infinitive phrase, dialect groups, gerund.

1. Introduction

Yorùbá language scholars are all agreed that Yorùbá language manifests verb infinitive phrase in its grammatical structure. The sentences below, taken from the standard dialect of the language demonstrate the realization of the phrase.

1. (a) Olú mọ aṣọ ó fò 'Olu knows how to wash cloth'
- (b) Iṣe náà ṣòro ó ṣe 'The work is difficult to accomplish'
- (c) Ọtí yìi ṣe é mu 'The wine is good'

In each of the sentences, there are two verbs; in (1a) we have **mọ** and **fò**, in (1b) there are **ṣòro** and **ṣe**, while in (1c) there are **ṣe** and **mu**. Between the two verbs in each sentence is an infinitive phrase marker in the forms of **ó** in (1a), **ó** in (1b) and **é** in (1c). Thus the infinitive verb phrase in (1) are

2. (a) ... **ó** fò
- (b) ... **ó** ṣe
- (c) ... **é** mu

Whereas, Yorùbá language scholars are unanimous in their claims that the language manifests verb infinitive phrase, they are not unanimous on the underlying form or the source of the infinitive phrase marker. In (1) above, the marker takes the form of the vowel that precedes it.

Scholars that include Ward (1952), Bowen (1958), Crowther (1952) (1958), Awobuluyi (1970) and Abiọdun (2010, 2015) claim that the marker developed from gerund through deletion and assimilation. Other scholars like Bamgbose (1966, 1971) and Awoyale (1983) differ by claiming that the marker is /i/ underlyingly but undergoes assimilation each time it is used in the standard dialect. Abiọdun (2015) adds a new dimension when he claims that Anago, a Yorùbá dialect spoken in the Republic of Benin has an underlying form which is /kí/.

The present paper is a pan-dialectal study of the (infinitive phrase) marker in four selected dialect groups of Yorùbá. The dialect groups are: (i) Central Yorùbá; (ii) North-East Yorùbá; (iii) South-East Yorùbá; and (iv) North-West Yorùbá. The researchers agree that it is impossible to study all the dialects in each group, however, it is believed that a study of two dialects in each group will help us understand the shape of marker across the dialect groups, and perhaps helps in proposing an underlying form. It would further enrich our understanding of dialectal variation within the field of Yorùbá dialectology.

2. Studies in Yorùbá Verb Infinitive Phrase

Scholars have paid attention to the source of the verb infinitive verb phrase marker in Yorùbá language by looking more into the standard dialect than the regional dialects of the language. Scholars, among them Ward (1952), Bowen (1958), Awobuluyi (1970) and

Abiòdun (2010, 2015), have argued that the source of the marker should be traced to gerunds that are derived from verbs through partial reduplication, such as:

- (3) lọ → lílọ
 sùn → sísùn
 jẹ → jíjẹ

The main argument of the scholars remains that the surface form of the marker, as found in the standard dialect, is derived by deleting the consonant that begins the gerund and effecting vowel assimilation between the two juxtaposed vowels that result from consonant deletion. Abiòdun (2010) illustrates thus,

- (4) i. Adé mọ **kíkà** (effect deletion)
 ii. Ade mọ **íkà** (effect assimilation)
 iii. Ade mọ **ónkà** ‘Ade knows how to count/read’

Further examples are contained below.

- (5) a. Olú mọ asọ **fífọ** (effect deletion)
 Olú mọ asọ **ífọ** (effect assimilation)
 Olu mọ asọ **ó fọ** ‘Olu knows how to wash cloth’

 b. Işé náà sòro **síşe** (effect deletion)
 Işé náà sòro **í şe** (effect assimilation)
 Işé náà sòro **ó şe** ‘The work is difficult to do/carry out’

As already mentioned, other scholars like Bamgbose (1966, 1971) and Awoyale (1983) argue that the marker is underlyingly /i/. One apparently convincing argument put forward in Awoyale (1983) is that Benue-Congo languages like Igbo, Efik, Igala and Itsekiri have /i/ as the infinitive verb phrase marker. He contends that since many of the languages in the family group share the feature, there is no reason to postulate gerund and go through different rules as that complicates the grammar and makes it complex. Awoyale (1983) affirms his position by saying that “Yorùbá, Igbo, Itsekiri, Efik and Igala are close on this and this type of distribution would seem to suggest that the HTS is no longer a Yorùbá affair, but may be older and more widespread than had hitherto been assumed.”

Other scholars argue that looking at regional dialects of Yorùbá rather than other distinct languages may be more profitable in giving us a clue as to the source of the marker. Abiòdun (2010), following his research into the Èkìtì regional dialect of Yorùbá, claims that gerund should be the preferred form of the verb infinitive phrase marker, he says that,

It would have proved more rewarding and convincing if evidence were sought from the dialects of Yorùbá to argue for or against the source of the infinitive phrase marker in the Yorùbá language. (p.93)

This call for a study of Yorùbá dialects for a possible and viable solution to the controversy over the source of the marker motivates the present researchers to look at the marker in different dialects across the dialect groups of the language.

3. Data Presentation

Data is presented in the four dialect groups mentioned earlier. For each dialect group, data is presented in two dialects randomly selected within each group.

3.1 Central Yorùbá

Within this dialect group are Èkìtì, Mòbà, Ifẹ̀ and Àkúrẹ̀ dialects. Data is presented in Mòbà and Èkìtì.

- (6) a. **Èkìtì Dialect (Ado-Èkìtì Speech form)**
- i. Òjọ́ mọ́ usu **jjẹ** ‘Ojo knows how to eat yam’
Ojo know yam to eat
- ii. Ulé re Olú **kíkọ́** ‘Olu loves to build a house’
House love Olu to build
- iii. Okó sòro **ríro** ‘Farm is difficult to cultivate’
Farm difficult to cultivate
- iv. Sẹ́ Wálé mọ́ asọ́ **fifọ́?** ‘Does Wale know how to wash cloth’
Does Wale know cloth to wash
- v. Okó o sòro **ríro** ‘It is not difficult to cultivate farm’
Farm neg. difficult to cultivate
- b. **Mòbà Dialect**
- i. Òjọ́ mọ́ usu **ijẹ** ‘Ojó knows how to eat yam’
Ojo know yam to eat
- ii. Ulé re Olú **í kọ́** ‘Olu loves to build a house’
House love Olu to build
- iii. Okó sòro **íro** ‘Farm is difficult to cultivate’
Farm difficult to cultivate
- iv. Sẹ́ Wálé mọ́ asọ́ **í fọ́?** ‘Does Wale know how to wash cloth’
Does Wale know cloth to wash?

- v. Oko kè sòro í ro “It is not difficult to cultivate a farm
oke neg difficult to cultivate

3.2 North-East Yorùbá

In the North -East Yorùbá dialect group are Yàgbà , Owé, Ọ̀wọ̀rọ̀, Ìjùmú, Bùnú and Gbẹ̀dẹ̀. Data is presented in Yàgbà and Ọ̀wọ̀rọ̀ dialects.

- (7) **a. Yàgbà Dialect (Mọ̀pà)**
- i. Ọ̀jọ̀ mọ̀ isu í je ‘Ọ̀jọ̀ knows how to eat yam’
Ojo know yam to eat
- ii. Ilé wu Olú í kọ̀ ‘Olu loves to build a house’
House love Olu to build
- iii. Okó sòro í ro ‘Farm is difficult to cultivate’
Farm difficult to cultivate
- iv. Sẹ̀ Wálémọ̀ asọ́fọ̀? ‘Does Wale know how to wash cloth?’
Does Wale know cloth to wash?
- v. Oko é sòro í ro ‘Farm is not difficult to cultivate’
Farm neg difficult to cultivate
- b. Ọ̀wọ̀rọ̀ Dialect**
- i. Ọ̀jọ̀ o ma isu ú je ‘Ọ̀jọ̀ knows how to eat yam’
Ojo RP know yamto eat
- ii. Ilé o wu Olú ú ka ‘Olu loves to build a house’
House love Olu to build
- iii. Okó osòro ó ro ‘Farm is difficult to cultivate’
Farm RP difficult to cultivate
- iv. Sẹ̀ Wálé ma asọ́fọ̀? ‘Does Wale know how to wash cloth?’
Does Wale know cloth to wash?
- v. Oko é sòro ó ro ‘Farm is not difficult to cultivate’
Farm neg difficult to cultivate

3.3 South-East Yorùbá

In this dialect group are Oṅdó , Ọ̀wò, Ìjẹ̀bù, Ìlájẹ, Ìkálẹ and others. Data is presented in Ọ̀wò and Ìkálẹ dialects.

(8) a. Ọ̀wò (Ifọ̀n Speech form)

- i. Olú mà èrún íjẹ 'Olu knows how to eat yam'
Olu know yam to eat
- ii. Ulé re Ade í kọ 'Ade loves to build a house'
House love Ade to build
- iii. Usẹ sòro í se 'The work is difficult to accomplish/to'
Work difficult to do
- iv. Sẹ́ Wale mà asọí fọ? 'Does Wale know how to wash cloth?'
Does Wale know cloth to wash?
- v. Ade ò ma erún í jẹ 'Ade does not know how to eat yam'
Ade neg know yam to eat

b. Ìkálẹ Dialect

- i. Olú ma usu újẹ 'Olu knows how to eat yam'
Olu know yam to eat
- ii. Ulí ghwũ Adé é kọ 'Ade loves to build a house'
House love Ade to build
- iii. Usẹ sòro óse 'Work is difficult to accomplish/do'
Work difficult to do
- iv. Sé Wálé ma asọ ọ́ fọ? 'Does Wale know how to wash cloth?'
Does Wale know cloth to wash?
- v. Adé èè ma usu ú jẹ 'Ade does not know how to eat yam'
Ade neg know yam to eat

3.4 North-West Yorùbá

Dialects in this group include Èkó , Àwòrì, Ègbádò, Ọ̀yó, Ọ̀sun, Ọ̀nkò, Ìbòlọ, Ìgbómìnà among others. Data is presented in Ìbòlọ and Ìgbómìnà dialects

(9) a. Ìbòlọ Dialect

- i. Ọ̀jọ mọ isu ú jẹ 'Ọ̀jọ knows how to eat yam'

- Ojo know yam to eat
- ii. Ilé wu Olú **ú** kọ 'Olu loves to build a house'
House love Olu to build
- iii. Okó sòro **ó** ro 'Farm is difficult to cultivate'
Farm difficult to cultivate
- iv. Şe Ojo mọ isu **ú** je 'Does Ojo know how to eat yam?'
Does Ojo know yam to eat
- v. Oko kò sòro **ó** ro 'Farm is not difficult to cultivate'
Farm neg difficult to cultivate
- b. Igbomina Dialect
- i. Òjó mọ isu **ú** je 'Òjó knows how to eat yam'
Ojo know yam to eat
- ii. Ilé wu Olú **ú** kọ 'Olu loves to build a house'
House love Olu to build
- iii. Okó sòro **ó** ro 'Farm is difficult to cultivate'
Farm difficult to cultivate
- iv. Şé Ojo mọ àwù**ú**fọ 'Does Ojo know how to wash cloth?'
Does Ojo know cloth to wash
- v. Oko èè sòro **ó** ro 'Farm is not difficult to cultivate'
Farm neg difficult to cultivate

3. General Overview

Looking back at the pieces of data in the sub-sections under section (3) above, it is observed that the marker shows three different forms that are described below:

- (10) a. **gerund:** as reflected in the Èkìtì dialect, e.g.
Òjó mọ usu **jíje** 'Ojo knows how to eat yam'
- b. /i/: as reflected in Mòbà, Yàgbà and Òwò dialects
e.g.: Mòbà: Òjó mọ usu **í** je
Yàgbà: Òjó mọ isu **í** je 'Òjó knows how to eat yam'
Òwò (Ifón): Òjó ma erun **í** je

- c. a vowel that takes the form of a preceding vowel, as in Òwòrò, Ìkálẹ̀ and Ibòlọ̀,
- | | | | |
|-------|---------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| e.g.: | Òwòrò: | Òjọ ma isu ú jẹ | } ‘Òjọ knows how to eat yam’ |
| | Ìkálẹ̀: | Òjọ mọ isu ú jẹ | |
| | Ibòlọ̀: | Òjọ mọ isu ú jẹ | |

One interesting thing noticed about the marker across the dialects is that some dialect groups do not have a consistent form of the marker. In Central Yorùbá for instance, Èkìtì dialect demonstrates **gerund**, while Mòbà reflects /i/. In the South-East group, Òwò (Ifon speech form) demonstrates /i/ while Ìkálẹ̀ shows a vowel that takes the form of a preceding vowel. The same scenario is manifested in North-East where Yàgbà has /i/ form while Òwòrò reflects a vowel that takes the form of the preceding vowel. It is only in the North-West that a vowel that takes the form of a preceding vowel is consistently realized.

Following from the above, that is, the three forms of the marker across the dialects, the researcher agrees with scholars like Ward (1952), Awobuluyi (1970) and Abiọdun (2010, 2015) that the source of the marker is the gerund as found in Èkìtì dialect. The other forms, therefore, are the result of phonological processes. The dialects that manifest /i/ must have undergone a process of consonant deletion as claimed by scholars mentioned above. This implies that the initial consonant of the gerund is deleted as in

- (11) Òjọ mọ usu **j**jẹ (effect deletion)
Ojo mọ usu **í**jẹ

Other dialects that manifest a vowel that takes the form of a preceding vowel must have gone through consonant deletion and vowel assimilation as shown below,

- (12) Òjọ mọ usu **j**jẹ
Òjọ mọ usu **í**jẹ
Òjọ mọ usu **ú**jẹ

Abiọdun (2015) claims that a dialect of Yorùbá called Anago spoken in Republic of Benin has /kí/ as the infinitive phrase marker. The scholar presents data from the dialect to affirm the claim, e.g.

- (13) Adé mọ aṣọ **kífọ** ‘Adé knows how to wash cloth.’
Ilé wù mí **kíkọ** ‘I love to build a house.’
Mátò ṣoro **kítì** ‘Vehicle is difficult to drive.’

The question that readily comes to mind is whether the /kí/ is also traceable to gerund. Abiọdun (2015) answers the question when he states that unlike the Yorùbá language in Nigeria that forms gerund through partial reduplication, Anago has a fixed prefix /kí/ used in the derivation of gerund. The scholar states that,

Whereas, the standard and regional dialects of Yorùbá spoken in Nigeria employ partial reduplication and the insertion of a pre-specified /i/ to form gerund, Anago, a regional

dialect of Yorùbá spoken in Benin Republic does not employ reduplication . Rather, the dialect has a constant prefix which takes the form /kí/. (p.223).

We wish to add here that the derivation of gerund through the prefixation of /kí/ could be the result of the contact between Anago and other languages in the Republic of Benin.

Conclusion

This paper buttressed the claims by scholars that “dialects of a language are “versions of the some basic grammar with systematic differences in grammatical structure at different levels of grammar” (Fromkin and Rodman 1978: 258). One interesting finding in this paper is that even when a group of dialects are said to belong to a particular dialect group, they still reflect differences, and as this paper reveals, dialects in one group share similarities with dialects in other groups. As demonstrated in this study, Mòbà(that belongs to the Central Yorùbá group), Yàgbà(which belongs to the North -East Yorùbá Group) and Òwò(in the South-East Yorùbá group)share a similar marker which is /i/. On the other hand, Òwòrò(in the North-East Group), Ìkálè(in the South-East Group) and both Ìbòlò and Ìgbòminà(in the North-West Group) share a similar marker in the form of a vowel that assimilates the vowel that precedes it . Going by our findings , only Èkiti dialect manifests gerund . The researchers opine that further research may reveal other dialect (s) that share a form with Èkiti dialect.

References

Abiodun, M.A. (2010). On the gerundive source of the verb infinitive phrase in Yorùbá. In Oyebade, F.O and Olumuyiwa T. (eds.). *New Findings in the Study of Nigerian Languages and Literatures: A Festschrift in honour of Oladele Awobuluyi*. Akure: Montem Paperbacks 253 – 263.

Abiodun, M.A. (2015). More on the source of the high tone syllable in the infinitive phrase of Yorùbá: Evidence from Ànàgó . In Ola-Orie O., Ilori, J.F., Yuka, L.C. (eds.) *Current Research in African Linguistics: Papers in honour of Oladle Awobuluyi*. Cambridge: Cambridge Scholar Publishing, p.220 – 227.

Awobuluyi, O. (1970). High-tone-junction-contractive-verbs in Yorùbá . *Journal of West African Languages*. 7, 1: 29 – 38.

Awoyale, Y. (1983). On the development of the verb infinitive phrase in Yorùbá . *Studies in African Linguistics* 14, 1; 91 – 102.

Bamgbose, A. (1966). *A Short Yorùbá Grammar*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bamgbose, A. (1971). The verb -infinitive-phrase in Yorùbá . *Journal of West African Languages* 8, 1: 37 – 52.

Bowen, T.J. (1858). *Grammar and Dictionary of the Yorùbá Language*. Washington D.C.: Smithsonian Contribution to Knowledge No. 10.

Crowther, A.S. (1852). *Vocabulary and grammar of the Yorùbá Languages* . London: Seeleys Co.

Wards, I.C. (1952). *An introduction to the Yorùbá Language*. Cambridge: Haffar and Sons Ltd.